



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,735	01/30/2004	Borje Moosberg	10400C-000089/US	5712
30593	7590	11/17/2004	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			LANGDON, EVAN H	
P.O. BOX 8910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20195			3654	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,735	MOOSBERG, BORJE
	Examiner Evan H Langdon	Art Unit 3654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/04.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 1, it is not understood what is meant by the term "degrees" on line 21.

Is there some relation to the rotation of the shield plate?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato (US 5,273,235).

Sato discloses an induction type magnetic brake for braking a line spool 6 of a fishing reel having a frame, in which the line spool 6 is supported rotatably about an axis X of rotation, and two side plates 1,2 which are mounted on the frame, the line spool 6 having an end wall (Fig. 1) fixedly connected thereto and extending perpendicular to the axis of rotation X, the magnetic

brake having a plurality of permanent magnets 28 for inductive cooperation with the end wall of the line spool 6 and a magnet support 31 carrying the magnets 28 and located between one of the plates 1 and the end wall of the line spool, wherein the magnet support 31 carries the magnets in a first plane perpendicular to the axis rotation X, and a shielding plate 30 is arranged in a second plane which is perpendicular to the axis of rotation X and located between the first plane and the end wall of the line spool, as seen in Figure 1, the magnet support 31 and the shielding plate 30 being movable perpendicular the axis of rotation and relative to each other to different relative positions, in which the shielding plate covers the magnets to different degrees.

In regards to claim 2, Sato discloses the magnet support 31 and the shield plate 30 are movable perpendicular to the axis of rotation and relative to each other between a first relative position, in which the shielding plate 31 is positioned straight in front of the magnets 28 and completely shields the magnets in order to cancel their inductive cooperation with the end wall of the line spool, and a second relative position, in which the shielding plate is moved aside and completely uncovers the magnets, as explained in col. 4.

In regards to claim 3, Sato discloses the magnet support 31 and the shielding plate 30 are turnable about the axis of rotation relative to each other to their different relative positions.

In regards to claim 4, Sato discloses the magnet support 31 carries the magnets 28 in such a manner that they are located at essentially the same radial distance from the axis of rotation, as seen in Fig. 4.

In regards to claim 5, Sato discloses an operating means 29, which is turnable about an axis parallel to the axis of rotation, is mounted on the one side plate 1 and operable from the outside thereof and has a first tooth element 29B meshing with a second tooth element 32 which

is arranged 30B on one of the magnet support and the shielding plate 30, for providing, on turning of the operating means 29, relative turning of the magnet support and the shielding plate 30 about the axis of rotation X.

In regards to claim 6, Sato discloses the magnet support 31 is attached to the side plate 1 and the shielding plate 30 is movable perpendicular to the axis of rotation X.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan H Langdon whose telephone number is (703)-306-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703)-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

ehl